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Let’s face it: Phonetics is a difficult and potentially tedious course, for both the instructor and the students. Although it may seem like a shallow and trivial topic—something one could learn from the key at the bottom of a dictionary page—there are multiple complexities that challenge many students who are accustomed to doing well easily in all of their classes. Concepts from anatomy and physiology are needed to describe the articulators and their speech production functions. Concepts from physics are needed to explain the acoustics of speech sounds and prosody and how these are manifested in sound waves and spectrograms. Concepts from linguistics, including sociolinguistics, are needed to account for variable pronunciations of phonemes in different phonetic contexts and by different groups of speakers, as well as phonemic differences across languages. And, at the same time, students must develop a so-called “good ear” for detecting subtle nuances among speech sounds. Somehow, the professor of phonetics must maintain the interest and motivation of groups of undergraduates while leading them through the inter-relationships amongst all of these disparate aspects of our field.

Why should we bother? Because an understanding of all of these aspects of speech and of their interrelationships is key to the assessment and treatment of speech sound disorders, which account for the majority of speech-language services provided to preschoolers as well as services to many older children and to adults. To provide successful, high- quality, evidence-based services, speech-language pathologists must be more than competent in understanding and using phonetic concepts and skills. This mission is made all the more difficult by the growing diversity in our country. No longer is it sufficient for the SLP to recognize and understand the phonemes and allophones of English. Our caseloads are becoming increasingly linguistically diverse, so we also must be able to tease apart the influences not only of disparate dialects but languages as well, including the additional impacts of being bilingual.

Having just reviewed my Phonetics student course evaluations for the past semester—one of a large number of sets of Phonetics course evaluations I have reviewed over the past three decades—I am keenly aware that many students find all of this overwhelming, as it is typically presented in current phonetics texts. In this book and workbook, Kathy Jakielski and Christina Gildersleeve-Neumann have taken a different approach to presenting this information that will make the material both easier to digest and more engaging to our students. They present articulatory phonetics first—the anatomical and physiological foundations for consonants, vowels, and diacritics—as the basis for a chapter dedicated to each of these. Chapters on prosody and then acoustics come next. Only once students have a solid foundation in these more basic concepts about speech do the authors focus on concepts from phonology—phonemes, allophones, etc. Finally, they close with a lively review of the many respects in which languages differ from each other phonetically. Thus, students will be able to process and master the various components of the field of phonetics one by one. As the reader progresses through the book, the material from the earlier chapters is reviewed and related to the new concepts. As a result, there are many opportunities to re-visit ideas from more basic aspects of phonetics and to integrate them into more complex concepts for a deeper understanding of the whole. This organization should be far less intimidating and far more reinforcing for students.

Another innovation in this book is that each chapter includes frequent “Did you get it?” reviews, which encourage the student to answer a small number of short answer or multiple-choice questions that reinforce the material just covered. These, in addition to the excellent, varied exercises in the accompanying workbook and also the mnemonic
flashcards that are included, give the students many engaging opportunities to interact with the material and thus to master it at a far deeper level than they would by merely reading the text.

The organization of the material is definitely not the only innovative aspect of this book-workbook set. Most motivating for the students are the “Applied Science” sections that begin and end each chapter. Some are fascinating phonetic puzzles that will increase the students’ curiosity about the topic of the chapter from the get-go. Others are clinical mini-case studies that raise interesting questions, the answers to which depend upon the material in that chapter. These are not trivial; they involve key clinical issues, such as identifying covert contrasts (Chapter 4), detecting production-based versus perception-based speech errors (Chapter 6), and differentiating the impacts of bilingualism from speech disorder (Chapters 7, 8, and 9). Despite the basic level of understanding that the undergraduate readers will have, the authors have managed to include accessible real-life clinical conundrums with “Aha!” solutions, based upon the recently-learned material, revealed at the end of the chapter.

The clinical case studies are only one of the means by which Jakieliski and Gildersleeve-Neumann introduce intriguing information about languages other than English into the text. Throughout the book, especially in Chapter 9, they provide fascinating phonetic details, not just about Spanish, German, and Chinese but also about Guarani, Navajo, Quichua, Xhosa, Tlingit, and Taa, to mention just a few. Students completing a course taught with this textbook should have a much wider appreciation of phonetics as a human trait, well beyond the speech sounds of English.

Don’t be misled by the comfortable conversational style in which this book is written. These two authors “know their stuff”; both have many years of hands-on experience in clinical phonetics as well as in teaching this subject. The information in the book, for all of the aspects of phonetics that are covered, is solid as well as accessible.

[dɪɡ iənd ɛnˈdɔrɪmə]!

Shelley L. Velleman, PhD, CCC-SLP
Chair & Professor
Communication Sciences and Disorders
University of Vermont
The idea for this textbook originated in 1998, when one of us turned to the other and said, “One day, we are writing our own phonetics textbook.” That statement was born out of our frustration of not finding an introductory phonetics textbook that maintained the powerful science material that underlies phonetics, while weaving the application of it into the speech and hearing sciences. As clinical and research speech-language pathologists ourselves, we experience daily how understanding the science that underlies the artful tool of phonetic transcription increases our success in the classroom, clinic, and lab. So, when Valerie Johns and Kalie Koscielak from Plural Publishing approached us about co-authoring an introductory phonetics textbook, it appeared that it was time to give substance to an idea that began almost 20 years ago.

Each of us has been teaching phonetics to students majoring in speech-language pathology and audiology for over 20 years, and practicing as clinical and research speech-language pathologists for even longer. *Phonetic Science for Clinical Practice* mirrors the courses we have crafted over those two decades, containing the information that speech-language pathologists and audiologists need to build a foundation in phonetic science, as well as to become accurate phonetic transcribers. Knowing how to transcribe is a practical skill. But knowing how to think like a phonetician is arming oneself with practical knowledge.
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What follows are our suggestions for maximizing your learning while using this textbook. Your learning will be enhanced if you engage in the material as you read each chapter, so we hope that you take advantage of the methods we have included to help increase your active engagement.

Learning Objectives

Each chapter begins with a list of learning objectives. Before you begin reading the chapter, go over the objectives so you know the topics that will be covered. After you have finished reading the chapter, we recommend that you return to the list of learning objectives as a means of testing yourself on the major concepts.

Applied Science

Each chapter also begins with a question designed to motivate your thinking about the topics that will be discussed in that chapter—prior to learning about the topics. We employ this strategy to draw you into the topics, asking you to pause to consider possible answers to the question before you jump into the reading. We also include applied science questions to connect your learning to clinical scenarios. We encourage you to think about the clinical question while you read the chapter.

Applied Science: Revisited

We return to the Applied Science clinical question at the end of each chapter. All of the questions ultimately can be answered by applying the phonetic science concepts covered in that chapter, so we walk you through each step of solving the problem. By answering the questions, you will have learned how to apply the concepts in the chapter to solve a real-life clinical problem.

Did You Get It?

Throughout each chapter, you will find many “Did You Get It?” mini-quizzes for checking your comprehension of the material just presented. If you cannot answer a question, then you know that you missed an important concept and should re-read that section until you can answer the question. Once you have answered each question in a Did You Get It? quiz, you will find the answers at the end of each chapter. If you answered any questions incorrectly, then re-read the material until you comprehend it.

Bolded Text

There are bolded words throughout the textbook to indicate that a new term was just introduced. Definitions of these words can be found in the Glossary at the end of the book.

Audio Files

Whenever you see a sound, syllable, word, or phrase highlighted in burgundy text, you can find an accompanying audio file on the textbook’s companion website. Listening to the file, you can hear exactly how it is pronounced.

Interest Piqued?

At the end of each chapter, you will find a section called Interest Piqued? There you will find a list of suggested print and online materials that illustrate
or expand the materials covered in the chapter. Check out the suggested materials to help further your understanding of the topics.

**Companion Website**

Take some time to go to the companion website for the textbook. You will find an assortment of materials designed to support your learning. Materials include links to the Interest Piqued? resources, flashcards of the Glossary terms, flashcards of the mnemonic resources, and audio files of highlighted text.

**Companion Workbook**

Nothing aids learning like practice! We published *Phonetic Science for Clinical Practice: A Transcription and Application Workbook* to provide you with abundant opportunities to apply the knowledge and practice the skills you will learn by reading this textbook. You will find that the workbook parallels the structure of the textbook chapters and topics, making it easy for you to follow along. From our decades of experience teaching beginning phonetics students, application of concepts and more transcription practice are what students request.
This book is dedicated to two of our most appreciated academic mentors, Barbara L. Davis and Peter F. MacNeilage.
Learning Objectives

By reading this chapter, you will learn:

1. the scientific fields of phonetics and phonology
2. the concepts of phonemes, phones, and allophones
3. the difference between orthographic and phonetic symbols
4. about the International Phonetic Alphabet and its applications
5. the continuum of different styles of speech, including speech registers
6. skills underlying phonetic transcription
7. the phonotactic structure of words
8. the concept and types of word stress
A mother calls a local speech-language pathologist to request an evaluation of her four-year-old son, whose speech “is immature for his age and sometimes difficult to understand.” The mother explains that even though she cannot always understand his speech, “he talks in sentences and understands what is said to him.” When asked to describe her son’s speech, the mother reports that “he can’t pronounce all of his ‘t’ and ‘s’ words.” The speech-language pathologist arranges to test the little boy’s speech.

- Give examples of words you think the child cannot correctly pronounce.
- Make a list of words the speech-language pathologist could use to test the child’s speech.
- How will the speech-language pathologist unambiguously write down the child’s pronunciations?

The Study of Speech: Phonetics and Phonology

Phonetics is the study of speech sounds. Speech sounds are the consonant and vowel sounds that make up the words in a spoken language. Scientists who study this fascinating field are called phoneticians. Phoneticians are interested in the physical properties of speech sounds. Some phoneticians are interested in the production aspects of speech sounds, determining exactly how humans produce sounds, while others are interested in how humans recognize sounds as speech. Others still are interested in understanding how our brains process speech, and others in how speakers combine sounds to make words in their language. There are different branches of phonetics, and within each domain of inquiry, phoneticians focus a specific lens on production, recognition, perception, or use. We will introduce you to five of the branches of phonetics: articulatory, acoustic, auditory, linguistic, and clinical.

Articulatory phonetics is focused on the actual movements needed to produce speech sounds. When we talk about how and where in the vocal tract the “s” sound, for example, is produced, we are practicing articulatory phonetics. Acoustic phonetics is the study of the actual auditory signal generated when speech sounds are produced. For example, when we examine a speech waveform to compare the loudness of the “s” sound with other sounds, we are practicing acoustic phonetics. Auditory phonetics is the study of how humans hear, perceive, and categorize speech sounds. When we study the age at which infants can tell the difference between the “s” and “f” sounds, we are practicing auditory phonetics. Linguistic phonetics is focused on understanding the articulatory, acoustic, and auditory characteristics of speech sounds in different languages. When we study how these physical characteristics influence how the “s” sound is used in words, we are practicing linguistic phonetics. Finally, clinical phonetics is the study and practical application of phonetics to solving real-life problems affecting the diagnosis and treatment of individuals who exhibit speech sound errors. When we attempt to determine the most effective intervention for “s” sound errors, we are practicing clinical phonetics. Clinical and research speech-language pathologists and audiologists, as well as speech and hearing scientists, utilize phonetics to better understand the nature of speech sound disorders and differences.

There is another area of study that is particularly important to clinical and research speech-language pathologists—an area that is entwined with linguistic phonetics. This area of study is called phonology. Phonology is a distinct branch of linguistics, separate from, but related to, phonetics. Scientists who study phonology are called phonologists. Phonologists are interested in how speech sounds are mentally represented in the human brain. Whereas phoneticians study the spoken sound, phonologists study the thought of a sound. Phonologists study the sounds that hold meaning in a language, as well as the rules for how those sounds can be combined into words. The rules are the phonological grammar of a language. When we determine, for example, that the consonant cluster “sf” cannot occur at the beginning of a word in a language, such as English, we are studying the phonology of that language. An overlap between phonetics and phonology occurs when there are articulatory and acoustic factors that motivate a specific phonological rule, resulting in a phonetic explanation for an observed phonologi-
1 INTRODUCTION TO PHONETIC SCIENCE

People are interested in various domains of phonetic science, and throughout this textbook, we will discuss the inquiry into these varied domains while weaving in examples of how to apply the information to clinical situations.

1–1. Did You Get It?

1. Name the branch of phonetics—articulatory, acoustic, auditory, or linguistic—that each example describes.
   a. Determining if a puff of air is typically emitted during production of a “p” sound when in initial position of English words.
   b. Determining if a puff of air that was produced during production of a “p” sound could be heard by a panel of listeners.
   c. Determining if a puff of air was emitted during production of the “p” sound by examining a speech spectrogram (a visible representation of sound).
   d. Determining if a puff of air was emitted during production of the “p” sound by placing your hand near the speaker’s mouth to feel the airflow.

2. A phonetician studies the ____________ of a language.

3. A phonologist studies the ____________ of a language.

The Sounds of Speech: Phonemes, Phones, and Allophones

Speech sounds that establish meaning when used in words are called phonemes. Phonemes are not interchangeable in words, because when a phoneme is changed, so is the meaning of the word. For example, the word pat holds specific meanings. Pat can refer to an action we perform with our hand to congratulate someone, the name of a friend, or a dab of butter. If we replaced “p” with “b” to make bat, a new word, with its own meanings, is formed. Being able to create meaningful words is what establishes “p” and “b” as phonemes in English. In Chapter 7, we will detail how phoneticians and phonologists determine which sounds are phonemes in languages.

In addition to phonemes, there are speech sounds called phones that do not change the meaning of words. Phones are the physical product of producing speech. When we want to say the word go, for example, the mental representation of go is a specific sequence of a consonant phoneme + a vowel phoneme. When we actually produce that consonant + vowel sequence, the phonemes move from being abstract thoughts to concrete sounds (i.e., phones) having measurable physical properties. For example, we can measure the loudness of the two phones or the tongue strength required to say them. Because of the dynamic nature of speech production, we never say the same sound the exact same way twice, and phoneticians love to study these intricate details of speech production within and across speakers and languages. In addition to careful listening and using acoustic methods, we also have tools for seeing sound production as it is being produced. Some of these tools include cinefluoroscopy (imaging using motion x-rays), ultrasound (imaging using sound waves), and electropalatography (an artificial mouth plate fitted with contacts that detect when the tongue touches the roof of the mouth).

Within a language, some of the differences in pronouncing sounds are systematic and predictable, and other differences are less predictable. For example, we can say the “t” sound in the word pat with a little burst of air at the end. Or, we can say the “t” sound without the burst of air. Even though the pronunciation of the word pat would sound differently in the two productions, the meaning of the word pat remains the same. Nonetheless, those two variations of “t” are different phones: one with a burst of air and one without. They are two phones that represent the single phoneme “t.” We call these production variations allophones. Therefore, the “t” with a strong burst of air and the “t” without a burst of air are considered allophones of the phoneme “t.” The term allophone, like phone, refers to the actual spoken production of a phoneme. Therefore, every phoneme mentally represents a set of phones. In Chapters 4, 7, and 8, we will provide detailed information about the production and usage of phonemes, phones, and allophones.
The Written Representation of Speech: Orthographic and Phonetic Symbols

Orthographic Symbols: The Latin Alphabet

Because in English there is not a one-to-one correspondence between alphabet graphemes (i.e., letters) and the sounds they represent, it is important to distinguish between how phonemes are written using orthographic alphabet graphemes and how those phonemes are produced as phones. For example, consider the written word bow. The written word b-o-w could mean the pretty decoration that you tie around a gift box, or it could mean the action of bending at the waist at the end of your fine performance. In the first example, the decoration that you tie around a gift box, the word bow is pronounced as a “b” sound plus a long “o” sound. In the second example, the word bow is pronounced as a “b” sound plus the sound you yell when you bang your knee on the corner of a table, “ow” (as in ouch). Simply reading the word bow, written by itself, you have no way of knowing to which bow the word refers; thus, you have no way of knowing which pronunciation to use. These two words are spelled the same way, yet they mean different things and they have different pronunciations. The alphabet letters used to spell them do not offer any help in knowing which pronunciation to utter. Orthographic spellings often do not help us with pronunciation. Words that are spelled the same way but have different meanings are called homographs, and some homographs are pronounced differently (as in the above examples of bow), while others are pronounced the same (as in bat, which could refer to the animal, the club used to hit a ball, or the action of striking something). There also are examples of two words that are spelled differently, yet pronounced the same way. These words are called homophones. Such is the case with the word that means the action of bending at the waist at the end of a fine performance, bow, and the word bough that means a tree branch. Both words are pronounced as a “b” sound plus an “ow” sound. But now another confusion using orthographic alphabet letters to represent sounds emerges. Can you identify it? Yes, there is no single way of using alphabet letters to represent the “ow” sound. We could use the alphabet letters “ow” (owl), “ough” (bough), “ou” (foul), or in some dialects, “a” (gal). Confusions such as these are common.

Phonetic Symbols: The International Phonetic Alphabet

Phoneticians help us to understand that while spelling is focused on the orthographic graphemes used to write a word in a language, phonetics is focused on capturing how a word was pronounced, or articulated, by a speaker. To articulate speech is to produce it. When we read a dictionary, we can study the special pronunciation spellings that were developed to tell us how a word typically is pronounced. For example, in the Encarta Dictionary for North American English (Microsoft Corporation, 2009), pronunciation of the word example is listed as “ig ‘zæmpl.” There also is a Pronunciation Key that serves as a guide for how to pronounce each of the letters. When examining the key, we find a variety of letters representing the pronunciation for each of the 50+ different speech sounds; therefore, not even the Pronunciation Key represents a one-to-one correspondence of one letter for one sound. And even if you were so inclined, you would not want to spend your time memorizing those letters and associated sounds, because each dictionary has its own abstruse pronunciation key!

There is hope, however, for students who are interested in unambiguously capturing phonemes,
phones, and allophones using written symbols. That hope comes in the way of the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA). The IPA is a set of symbols used to represent the speech sounds across the world’s languages. Each symbol represents one, and only one, consonant or vowel. The IPA was developed in 1886 by a group of scholars interested in speech sound articulation who had formed an organization called the International Phonetic Association. The International Phonetic Association also is abbreviated as IPA. For clarity, every time we refer to the International Phonetic Association, we will write out the name of the organization throughout this textbook, and every time we refer to the International Phonetic Alphabet, we will use the abbreviation IPA. The IPA periodically is updated, with the most recent version published in 2015. Even though the IPA represents sounds in all the world’s languages, it is biased toward English and other Indo-European languages and largely uses symbols from the Latin alphabet; therefore, you will recognize many of the phonetic symbols. Most of the phonetic symbols we will use in this textbook will be from the IPA; however, we also will introduce a few other symbols that we believe better represent phonetics for clinical use in speech-language pathology and audiology.

One major benefit of learning the IPA is to be able to capture, using written symbols, how words in a language are pronounced. When determining how words are pronounced, we may be interested in knowing how a word is typically pronounced by speakers of the language and/or we may be interested in knowing how an individual actually articulates a word. The former is important to establish common pronunciations of words in a language, so that even speakers with different dialects within one language can be understood. The latter is important so that when an individual misarticulates (i.e., mispronounces) a word, there is an unambiguous way of writing down just how the person said a word.

We utilize the same basic symbol set, the IPA, to write phonemes, phones, and allophones. We designate phonemes, phones, and allophones as such, by enclosing the letter symbols in-between either virgules, / /, or brackets, [ ]. When we write using IPA symbols that represent a word, we place the symbols within virgules, which represents a phonemic transcription. For example, we could transcribe the mentally stored word no as /no/. When we write using IPA symbols that represent an actual production of a word, we place the symbols within brackets, which represents a phonetic transcription. For example, if we transcribed a child’s correct articulation of the word no, we would transcribe it as [no]. In summary, a phoneme is enclosed in-between virgules and a phone is enclosed in-between brackets.

1–3. Did You Get It?

1. List an example of one consonant sound that is spelled with different graphemes in two different words.
   ______________________________

2. List an example of one vowel sound that is spelled with different graphemes in two different words. ______________________________

3. List a pair of homographs. ______________________________

4. List a pair of homophones. ______________________________

5. Would you transcribe the following words using virgules or brackets?
   a. book, as said by a child ____________
   b. book, as the object itself ____________
   c. the word kitten ____________
   d. the spoken word kitten ____________
The Registers of Speech

To paraphrase the renowned linguist Roman Jakobsen, “we speak to be heard to be understood.” Driven by a desire to communicate, speakers will talk just clearly and loudly enough to be heard, so they have a chance of being understood. The styles in which speakers talk vary along a continuum of speech registers. Registers vary from frozen (using unchanging archaic language, as in reciting the Pledge of Allegiance) to formal (one-way communication, as in giving a speech) to consultative (communicating with an authority figure, as in a student–professor conversation) to casual (speaking to peers) to intimate (speaking to close friends and family members). We change registers by changing the way we articulate sounds. During any conversation, we may move back and forth across this continuum, using listener feedback and our own intentions to guide exactly how formally or informally we articulate our words. The same speaker produces the same speech sound differently—even in the same word—as speaking registers change.

The fact that the articulation of every phoneme varies so greatly within and across speakers presents many challenges to speech researchers, and they have given this phenomenon a name: the invariance problem. The invariance problem also captures the nature of speech sound variance as phonetic contexts change. For example, we might think that the long “i” vowel sound (pronounced as the word eye) is one invariant sound, but if we pay attention to how this vowel sounds when we speak aloud the words I and bite, we will hear that the two vowels sound slightly different. The difference is because the phonetic contexts are different: the vowels and/or consonants between the two words vary. For example, I has only a single vowel sound, so we say it has a single vowel (V) word shape, while bite contains three sounds, resulting in a consonant-vowel-consonant (CVC) word shape. We articulate the long “i” vowel sound—and every other speech sound—slightly differently based on the consonants and vowels coming before and after it. The result is a multitude of possible productions for every phoneme, and for every speaker.

Pronouncing words using very precise articulation, as you would when speaking in frozen and formal, and sometimes consultative, registers, is called citation-form speech. Citation form is over-articulated speech. It is speech on which a word’s dictionary pronunciation form is based. We use citation-form speech when we need everyone to hear our words clearly and unambiguously. Citation form typically refers to single word productions; however, it also can be used on a single sound or syllable to ensure understanding, as in, “The vocal folds ab-duct during breathing and ad-duct to produce sound,” or to emphasize a particular point, as in, “No, you may not go.” Citation-form speech is typically articulated slightly slower and is produced with greater effort and attention on the structures used to produce speech: the articulators, such as the tongue and lips. If we use citation-form speech for too long, our listeners might become fatigued hearing all our overarticulations. Dictionary forms of words are prescriptive by nature, telling a reader exactly how to pronounce any given word. As you will discover in later chapters, there are many reasons why an individual may articulate a word differently than its dictionary citation form specifies. It is important to understand that as clinical scientists we are more interested in descriptive phonetics than prescriptive rules. That is, we are more interested in how people speak than how they should speak, even while we compare their productions to the speech of other members of their linguistic community.

The most common form of speech production is casual. Casual speech is highly intelligible in most speaking contexts. Speech sounds are articulated clearly; however, we do not overarticulate sounds, decreasing the effort we expend producing speech. It is easy to listen to casual speech. Speech produced in a significantly relaxed style, such as when speaking intimately to very close friends and family, is characterized by omitting some sounds, syllables, and words (e.g., Are you coming? becomes Comin’?), mixing two sounds into one (e.g., Don’t you want to go? becomes Donchu wanna go?), and substituting one sound for another (e.g., I see you becomes I see ya).

The linguist Björn Lindblom referred to over- and underarticulated styles of speech as hyper- and hypo-speech, respectively. Lindblom hypothesized that variations in articulation are a speaker’s adaptations not only to changes in speaking situations, but also to the consonants and vowels that come before and after any particular sound, creating phonetic contexts that continually change from one
syllable to the next (e.g., Lindblom, 1983, 1990). Lindblom explains this occurrence as economy of articulation, a factor in speech production that linguist Peter Ladefoged called the principle of ease of articulation (Ladefoged, 2005). Researchers have conducted numerous studies over recent decades to identify factors related to the degree of articulatory effort put forth by speakers. In one of the first such studies, Philip Lieberman in 1963 discovered that speakers used less articulatory precision when saying words that could be considered predictable in a sentence, and that those words were less intelligible to naïve listeners hearing only the predictable words spoken. Contrastively, speakers used more articulatory precision when saying the unpredictable words, and those words were more intelligible to the naïve listeners. For example, in Lieberman’s study, the word “nine” was considered to be predictable in the sentence “A stitch in time saves nine,” whereas the word “nine” was considered to be unpredictable in the sentence, “The number you will hear is nine.” Another interesting study was conducted by Carol Fowler and Jonathan Housum in 1987. In their study, articulatory features of words produced the first time (called “new words”) and a second time (called “old words”) by speakers giving a monologue were compared. New words produced the first time were articulated approximately 40 to 70 milliseconds more slowly on average than during their second production, and the new words were more intelligible to listeners who heard the words in isolation. These findings elucidate what we seemingly do unconsciously.

Remember, though, Jakobson’s assertion that speakers not only want to be heard, they also want to be understood. Lindblom explained that speakers attempt to strike a balance between their own desire to make articulation as easy as possible and their listener’s need for intelligible speech. Ladefoged referred to this additional factor as the principle of sufficient perceptual separation. Ladefoged observed that languages preserve auditory distinctions across speech sounds, allowing listeners to be able to distinguish one phoneme from another with minimal difficulty. “Rose may be a rose may be a rose may be a rose” (Stein, 1990), but when it comes to speech production, “P is not a p sound is not a p sound is not a p sound.” Talking to be heard and then to be understood is achieved by a speaker successfully balancing the diverse forces presented by his or her own inclination toward articulatory ease and demands from various listeners, speaking environments, and phonetic contexts.

### 1–4. Did You Get It?

1. Practice saying each of the following sentences using a formal register, a casual register, and an intimate register.
   a. Hi, how are you doing today?
   b. Please, let me help you with that!

### Seeing Letters, but Hearing Sounds

The IPA is a powerful tool that is used to capture actual speech production; however, to phonetically transcribe speech correctly, you must first learn to hear the speech sounds that actually were produced, and largely ignore the orthographic spellings of words. Beginners of phonetic transcription often let written orthographic spelling guide their transcriptions, which frequently results in incorrect phonetic transcriptions. Think back to the problems we discussed earlier about the many difficulties of pronunciation and spelling using the orthographic alphabet. You want to begin to improve your listening skills right away, so that you will be able to identify correctly the number of sounds—not letters—in words.

Let’s revisit some of the words we looked at previously, beginning with bow (the decoration) and bough (a tree branch). Say each word aloud using citation-form speech. How many sounds did you hear in each word? The correct answer is that there are two sounds pronounced in each word, either “b” + long “o” or “b” + “ow.” If you incorrectly answered that you heard three sounds, you probably said that you heard the consonant sound “w” at the end of each word. If that is the case, then you may have grossly overarticated your pronunciation of the vowel sound. Both the long “o” and “ow” vowel sounds require that you round your lips to make them, and that lip rounding can sound as though you are making a “w” sound, when you are saying the vowel only, thus, only one sound.